ARTS LEARNING:	NING: Evidence that arts learning is integral to the project and that it has high artistic merit		
40 points	Excellent: 40-35 points	Average: 34-20 points	Weak: 19-0 points
Project Description	CLEAR and SPECIFIC explanation of all activities (including arts education) with specific details: who, what, where, when, why	BASIC explanation of artistic activities (including arts education), but the specifics are not clearly articulated	INSUFFICIENT OR UNCLEAR explanation of artistic activities (including arts education); few or no specific details are provided
Connection to Programming	connection to school curriculum or organization's existing programs. Includes plans to extend the residency's impact.	IMPLIED but NOT SPECIFIC connection to school curriculum or organization's existing programs. Mentions plans to extend the residency's impact.	HARD TO DETERMINE or NO EVIDENCE of connection to school curriculum or organization's existing programs. No plans to extend the residency's impact.
	*NAC Roster Artists are presumed to have excellent qualifications.		
Qualifications of Artists*	WELL ARTICULATED and CLEARLY EVIDENT qualifications presented in proposal and supporting materials	GENERALLY STATED in the proposal and supporting materials	NOT CLEARLY EVIDENT in narrative or in supporting materials
Learning Objectives	SPECIFIC, REALISTIC and MEANINGFUL learning outcomes and measures described	GENERAL learning outcomes and measures	NO learning outcomes and measures included
PLANNING & EVA	LUATION: Evidence that the project will b	e carried out successfully; completeness ar	nd accuracy of application
30 points	Excellent: 30-25 points	Average: 24-18 points	Weak: 17-0 points
Planning/ Evaluation Process	WELL-EXPLAINED and CONSISTENT residency planning; meaningful evaluation process/ described. Individuals involved in planning clearly described.	GENERAL AND IMPLIED residency planning; evaluation mentioned. Individuals involved in planning listed.	NOT EVIDENT or CONFUSING residency planning; evaluation not mentioned. Unclear who is involved in planning.
Application Quality	WELL-WRITTEN, with ATTENTION TO DETAIL; All components of proposal corroborate with one another and demonstrate thoughtful planning	ACCEPTABLY WRITTEN, components corroborate, sufficient detail provided to support proposal	CONFUSING TO READ, components of application are inconsistent with one another; insufficient information to support the proposal
Sponsor & Artist Agreement	Clearly ALIGNED with other components of the application including the budget worksheet and start/end dates.	SEEMS TO ALIGN with other components of the application including the budget worksheet and start/end dates.	MISSING or CONFLICTING information with other components of the application suchs as budget worksheet, or start/end dates.
OUTREACH & ENG	AGEMENT: Evidence that the project w	vill serve Nebraskans and impact the so	chool/community
30 points	Excellent: 30-25 points	Average: 24-18 points	Weak: 17-0 points
Target Audience	USEFUL AND SPECIFIC information about the partner schools and/or target group involved, including current demographics	GENERAL REFERENCES to the partner schools and/or target group involved	DOES NOT INCLUDE information about the partner schools and/or target group involved
Outreach and Accessibility	SUBSTANTIAL outreach designed to increase accessibility and school/community participation in the arts	LIMITED outreach designed to increase accessibility and school/community participation in the arts	LITTLE, IF ANY outreach to increase accessibility and school/community participation in the arts
Engagement	ACTIVE and DETAILED EFFORTS to engage underserved populations*	LIMITED EFFORTS to engage underserved populations*	FEW, or NO EFFORTS to engage underserved populations*
	*Those populations whose opportunities to experience the arts may be limited by geography, ethnicity, economics, or disability.		